

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE AND RESEARCHES NANO CONTRA αψ CONTINUOUS AND NANO CONTRA αψ IRRESOLUTE IN NANO TOPOLOGY

M.Parimala¹, R.Jeevitha^{*2} & R.Udhayakumar³

¹Department of Mathematics, Bannariamman Institute of Technology, Sathyamangalam, Tamilnadu,

India

*2Department of Mathematics, Dr.N.G.P. Institute of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India, 3Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore 632014, India

ABSTRACT

The intention of this learning is to introduce the idea of nano contra $\alpha\psi$ continuous and nano contra $\alpha\psi$ irresolute functions and examine some of their associated attributes and theorems. The corresponding condition for a function to be nano contra $\alpha\psi$ continuous and nano contra $\alpha\psi$ irresolute functions is established.

Keywords: Nano a wkernal, Nano contra a wcontinuous, Nano contra a wirresolute. 2010 Mathematical subject Classification : 54A05,54C10,54D10

I. INTRODUCTION

Generalized closed sets in topology was introduced by Levine [13]. Semi generalized closed sets in topology was introduced by Bhattacharyya and Lahiri [1] and study of their related attributes. A new concept of nano topology was introduced by Lellis Thivagar [10,11]. He also investigated some of their properties like nano open, nano semi open and nano pre open sets in nano topological spaces. K.Bhuvaneswari [2,3] etal was introduced the new concept of nano generalized closed and nano semi generalized closed sets in nano topology. A new class of contra continuity in nano topology was introduced by Lellis Thivagar [12]. S.Chandrasekar [5] etal present a new concept of contra nano semi generalized continuous in nano topology.

In this article, we will introduce the concept of nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous and nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute functions and investigate some of their related attributes and theorems.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. [10] Let U be a non empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as the indiscernibility relation. Then U is divided into disjoint equivalence classes. Let X is a subset of U, then the lower approximation of X with respect to R is is denoted by $\underline{R} = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X\}$, where R(X) denotes the equivalence class determined by $x \in U$.

Definition 2.2. [10] The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and its is denoted by $\overline{R} = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \cap X \neq \phi\}$. **Definition 2.3.** [10] The boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be possibly

classified neither as X nor as not X with respect to R and its is denoted by $B_R = R - \underline{R}$.



RESEARCHERID

THOMSON REUTERS

[Jeevitha, 5(9): September 2018]

DOI-10.5281/zenodo.1409650

Definition 2.4. [10] If (U, R) is an approximation space and X, $Y \subseteq U$. Then

1.
$$\underline{R} \subseteq X \subseteq \underline{R}$$

- 2. $\underline{R}(\phi) = \overline{R}(\phi) = \phi$ and $\underline{R}(U) = \overline{R}(U) = U$
- 3. $\overline{R}(X \bigcup Y) = \overline{R}(X) \bigcup \overline{R}(Y)$
- 4. $\overline{R}(X \cap Y) = \overline{R}(X) \cap \overline{R}(Y)$
- 5. $\underline{R}(X \bigcup Y) = \underline{R}(X) \bigcup \underline{R}(Y)$
- 6. $\underline{R}(X \cap Y) = \underline{R}(X) \cap \underline{R}(Y)$
- 7. $\overline{R}(X) \subseteq \overline{R}(Y)$ and $\underline{R}(X) \subseteq \underline{R}(Y)$ whenever $X \subseteq Y$
- 8. $\overline{R}(X^c) = (\underline{R})^c$ and $\underline{R}(X^c) = (\overline{R})^c$
- 9. $\underline{R}(\underline{R}) = \overline{R}(\underline{R}) = \underline{R}$
- 10. $\overline{R}(\overline{R}) = R(\overline{R}) = \overline{R}$

Definition 2.5. [10] Let U be an universe and R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \overline{R}, \overline{R}, B_R\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. Then $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms:

- 1. U and $\phi \in \tau_R(X)$.
- 2. The union of the element of any sub collection of $\tau_R(X)_{is in} \tau_R(X)$.
- 3. The intersection of the element of any finite sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

Then $\tau_R(X)$ is a topology on U is called the nano topology on U with respect to X. $(U, \tau_R(X))$ as the nano topological space. The element of $\tau_R(X)$ are called as nano open sets and complement of nano open sets is called nano closed.

III. NANO CONTRA αψ CONTINUO US FUNCTION

Definition 3.1. Suppose $(s, \tau_R(x))$ be a nano topological spaces and $H \subseteq S$. Then the nano $\alpha \psi$ kernal of H is defined by $N_{\alpha \psi} \ker(H) = \bigcap \{S : H \subseteq S, S \in \tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(x)\}$.

Example 3.2. Let as consider $U = \{p, q, r, s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p\}, \{r\}, \{q, s\}\}$ and $X = \{p, q\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{p\}, \{p, q, s\}, \{q, s\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(X) = \{U, \phi, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{s\}, \{p, r\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, s\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, q, s\}, \{p, r, s\}, \{q, r, s\}\}$. Hence $N_{\alpha \psi} \ker\{p\} = \{p\}, N_{\alpha \psi} \ker\{p, q, r\} = \{p, q, r\}, N_{\alpha \psi} \ker\{r\} = \{U\}$

Theorem 3.3. Suppose $(s, \tau_R(x))$ be a nano topological spaces and $H, R \subseteq S$. Then the subsequent attributes hold.

65

(i) $a \in N_{\alpha \psi} \operatorname{ker}(H)$ if and only if $H \cap E \neq \phi$ for any nano $\alpha \psi$ closed containing a.

(ii) If H is a subset of $N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H)$ and then $H = N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H)$ if H is nano $\alpha\psi$ open.

(iii) If H is a subset of R, then $N_{\alpha \psi} \ker(H)$ is a subset of $N_{\alpha \psi} \ker(R)$.



(C)Global Journal Of Engineering Science And Researches

ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070





[*Jeevitha*, 5(9): September 2018] DOI- 10.5281/zenodo.1409650 Proof.

ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070

(i) \Rightarrow If $a \in N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H)$. To prove that $H \cap E \neq \phi$ for any nano $\alpha\psi$ closed containing a. Let $a \in N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H)$, then $x \in H \subseteq E^c$, where E^c is a nano $\alpha\psi$ open set and $\Rightarrow H \cap E^c \neq \phi$. Hence $H \subseteq E^c \subseteq E \Rightarrow H \cap E \neq \phi$, here E is nano $\alpha\psi$ closed. Therefore $a \in H \cap E \neq \phi$, E is nano $\alpha\psi$ closed. Hence $H \cap E \neq \phi$ for any nano $\alpha\psi$ closed set containing a.

Conversely, If $H \cap E \neq \phi$ for any nano $\alpha \psi$ closed set containing a. To prove that, $a \in N_{\alpha \psi} \ker(H)$.

Assume that $a \notin N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H)$, hence there exist a nano $\alpha\psi$ open set E^c such that $H \subseteq E^c$ and $a \notin E^c$. Hence $H \subseteq E$ and $a \notin E$, where E is a nano $\alpha\psi$ closed which is a contradiction. Therefore $a \in N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow If H is a nano $\alpha \psi$ open set then $N_{\alpha \psi} \ker(H) \subseteq H$ To prove that, $H = N_{\alpha \psi} \ker(H)$.

Let us take R be any nano $\alpha \psi$ open set containing H, then we have $H \subseteq K$, implies $H \subseteq K \cap H \subseteq H$ and $K \cap H$ is nano $\alpha \psi$ open set. Therefore $H \subseteq \bigcap \{K, H \subseteq K, K \in \tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(x)\}$. Hence $H \subseteq N_{\alpha \psi} \ker(H)$ this implies that $H = N_{\alpha \psi} \ker(H)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow If $H \subseteq S$, then to prove that $N_{\alpha \psi} \ker(H) \subseteq N_{\alpha \psi} \ker(S)$.

Let $P \in N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H) \Rightarrow H \subseteq P$ and P is nano $\alpha\psi$ open in nano topology. If $H \subseteq S$ then $H \subseteq S \subseteq P$ where P is nano $\alpha\psi$ open in nano topology. Hence $P \in N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(S)$. Therefore $P \in N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H) \Rightarrow P \in N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(S)$ this implies $N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H) \subseteq N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(S)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let H be a subset of nano topology, then the subsequent conditions are equivalent.

(i) H is nano $\alpha \psi$ closed in nano topology.

(ii) $N\psi cl(H) \subseteq N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H)$.

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose $a \notin N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H)$, then there exist a set $S \in N_{\alpha\psi}$ open set in nano topology such that $a \notin S$ and $H \subseteq S$. Here H is nano $\alpha\psi$ closed, by definition $N\psi cl(H) \subseteq U$ and so $a \notin N\psi cl(H)$. This shows that $N\psi cl(H) \subseteq N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $S \in N_{\alpha\psi}$ open such that $H \subseteq S$. Then $N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H) \subseteq S$ and by (ii) $N\psi cl(H) \subseteq S$. Hence H is nano $\alpha\psi$ closed by definition.

Definition 3.5. Let $(S, \tau_R(x))$ and $(T, \tau_R(y))$ be a nano topological spaces, then c $f: (S, \tau_R(x)) \to (T, \tau_R(y))$ is a nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous, if $f^{-1}(P)$ is nano $\alpha \psi$ closed in $(S, \tau_R(x))$ for every nano open set P in $(T, \tau_R(y))$.

Example 3.6. Let as consider $U = \{p, q, r, s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p, q\}, \{r\}, \{s\}\}$ and $X = \{p, r\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{r\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, q\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(X) = P(U)$ and $U = \{p, q, r, s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p\}, \{r\}, \{q, s\}\}$ and $Y = \{p, q\}$. Then $\tau_R(Y) = \{U, \phi, \{p\}, \{p, q, s\}, \{q, s\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(Y) = \{U, \phi, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{q\}, \{s\}, \{p, r\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, s\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, q, s\}, \{p, r, s\}, \{q, r, s\}\}$. Assume that

66





ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070

 $f:(S,\tau_R(x)) \to (T,\tau_R(y))$ be defined by f(p) = q, f(q) = r, f(r) = s, f(s) = p. Then $f^{-1}(p) = \{s\}$ is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous in $(s,\tau_R(x))$ for every nano open set $\{p\}$ in $(T,\tau_R(y))$

Theorem 3.7. Each nano contra semi continuous function is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous function.

Proof. Let P be a nano open set in $(T, \tau_R(y))$. Assume f is nano contra semi continuous, So $f^{-1}(P)$ is nano semi closed set in $C(S, \tau_R(x))$. We know that each nano semi closed sets is nano $\alpha \psi$ closed set. Hence $f^{-1}(P)$ is nano $\alpha \psi$ closed in $(S, \tau_R(x))$. Therefore f is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous function. The inverse part need not be true in the following example.

Example 3.8. Let as consider $U = \{p,q,r,s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p,q\},\{r\},\{s\}\}$ and $X = \{p,r\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U,\phi,\{r\},\{p,q,r\},\{p,q\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(X) = P(U)$ and $U = \{p,q,r,s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p\},\{r\},\{q,s\}\}$ and $Y = \{p,q\}$. Then $\tau_R(Y) = \{U,\phi,\{p\},\{p,q,s\},\{q,s\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(Y) = \{U,\phi,\{p\},\{q\},\{q\},\{q\},\{p,r\},\{p,q\},\{q,s\},\{p,q,r\},\{p,q,s\},\{p,r,s\},\{q,r,s\}\}$. Assume that $f:(S,\tau_R(x)) \to (T,\tau_R(y))$ be defined by f(p) = q, f(q) = r, f(r) = s, f(s) = p. Then $f^{-1}(q,s) = \{p,r\}$ is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous in $(s,\tau_R(x))$ but it is not nano semi closed in $(s,\tau_R(x))$ for every nano open set $\{q,s\}$ in $(T,\tau_R(y))$.

Theorem 3.9. Each nano contra α continuous function is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous function.

Proof. Let P be a nano open set in $(T, \tau_R(y))$. Assume f is nano contra α continuous, So $f^{-1}(P)$ is nano α closed set in $C(S, \tau_R(x))$. We know that each nano α closed sets is nano $\alpha \psi$ closed set. Hence $f^{-1}(P)$ is nano $\alpha \psi$ closed in $(S, \tau_R(x))$. Therefore f is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous function.

The inverse part need not be true in the following example.

Example 3.10. Let as consider $U = \{p,q,r,s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p,q\},\{r\},\{s\}\}$ and $X = \{p,r\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U,\phi,\{r\},\{p,q,r\},\{p,q\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha\psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha\psi}(X) = P(U)$ and $U = \{p,q,r,s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p\},\{r\},\{q,s\}\}$ and $Y = \{p,q\}$. Then $\tau_R(Y) = \{U,\phi,\{p\},\{p,q,s\},\{q,s\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha\psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha\psi}(Y) = \{U,\phi,\{p\},\{q,s\},\{p,r\},\{p,q\},\{q,s\},\{p,q,r\},\{p,q,s\},\{p,r,s\},\{q,r,s\}\}$. Assume that $f:(S,\tau_R(x)) \to (T,\tau_R(y))$ be defined by f(p) = q, f(q) = r, f(r) = s, f(s) = p. Then $f^{-1}(q,s) = \{p,r\}$ is nano contra $\alpha\psi$ continuous in $(s,\tau_R(x))$ but it is not nano α closed in $(s,\tau_R(x))$ for every nano open set $\{q,s\}$ in $(T,\tau_R(y))$.

Theorem 3.11. Each nano contra ψ continuous function is nano contra $\alpha\psi$ continuous function.

Proof. Let P be a nano open set in $(T, \tau_R(y))$. Assume f is nano contra ψ continuous, So $f^{-1}(P)$ is nano ψ closed set in $(S, \tau_R(x))$. We know that each nano ψ closed sets is nano $\alpha\psi$ closed set. Hence $f^{-1}(P)$ is nano $\alpha\psi$ closed in $(S, \tau_R(x))$. Therefore f is nano contra $\alpha\psi$ continuous function. The inverse part need not be true in the following example.

67





ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070

Example 3.12. Let as consider $U = \{p,q,r,s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p,q\},\{r\},\{s\}\}$ and $X = \{p,r\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U,\phi,\{r\},\{p,q,r\},\{p,q\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha\psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha\psi}(X) = P(U)$ and $U = \{p,q,r,s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p\},\{r\},\{q,s\}\}$ and $Y = \{p,q\}$. Then $\tau_R(Y) = \{U,\phi,\{p\},\{p,q,s\},\{q,s\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha\psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha\psi}(Y) = \{U,\phi,\{p\},\{q\},\{q\},\{q\},\{p,r\},\{p,q\},\{q,s\},\{p,q,r\},\{p,q,s\},\{p,r,s\},\{q,r,s\}\}$. Assume that $f:(S,\tau_R(x)) \rightarrow (T,\tau_R(y))$ be defined by f(p) = q, f(q) = r, f(r) = s, f(s) = p. Then $f^{-1}(p,q,s) = \{p,r,s\}$ is nano contra $\alpha\psi$ continuous in $(s,\tau_R(x))$ but it is not nano ψ closed in $(s,\tau_R(x))$ for every nano open set $\{p,q,s\}$ in $(T,\tau_R(y))$

Theorem 3.13. Each nano contra sg continuous function is nano contra αψ continuous function.

Proof. Let P be a nano open set in $(T, \tau_R(y))$. Assume f is nano contra sg continuous, So $f^{-1}(P)$ is nano sg closed set in $C(S, \tau_R(x))$. We know that each nano sg closed sets is nano $\alpha \psi$ closed set. Hence $f^{-1}(P)$ is nano $\alpha \psi$ closed in $(S, \tau_R(x))$. Therefore f is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous function.

The inverse part need not be true in the following example.

Example 3.14. Let as consider $U = \{p, q, r, s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p, q\}, \{r\}, \{s\}\}\}$ and $X = \{p, r\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{r\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, q\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(X) = P(U)$ and $V = \{p, q\}, \{p, q\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(X) = P(U)$ and $V = \{p, q\}, \{p, q\}, \{p, q\}\}$.

 $U = \{p, q, r, s\} \text{ with } U_R = \{\{p\}, \{r\}, \{q, s\}\} \text{ and } Y = \{p, q\}. \text{ Then } \tau_R(Y) = \{U, \phi, \{p\}, \{p, q, s\}, \{q, s\}\}. \text{ Here the nano } \alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(Y) = \{U, \phi, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{s\}, \{p, r\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, s\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, q, s\}, \{p, r, s\}, \{q, r, s\}\}.$ Assume that $f: (S, \tau_R(x)) \rightarrow (T, \tau_R(y))$ be defined by f(p) = q, f(q) = r, f(r) = s, f(s) = p. Then $f^{-1}(q, s) = \{p, r\}$ is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous in $(s, \tau_R(x))$ but it is not nano sg closed in $(s, \tau_R(x))$ for every nano open set $\{q, s\}$ in $(T, \tau_R(y))$

Theorem 3.15. Let a map $f: (S, \tau_R(x)) \to (T, \tau_R(y))$, then the subsequent attributes are equivalent. (i) f is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous.

(ii) The inverse image of every nano closed set in T is nano αψ open in S

(iii) If every nano $\alpha \psi$ open set H in S then $f(H) \subseteq R$, where R is a nano $\alpha \psi$ closed set, $f(a) \in R$ such that every $a \in S$.

(iv) $f(N_{\alpha\psi}cl(H)) \subseteq N_{\alpha\psi} \ker f(H)$ for each subset H of S. (v) $N_{\alpha\psi}cl[f^{-1}(R)] \subseteq f^{-1}[N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(R)].$ **Proof.**

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let f be a nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous. Assume R be a nano closed set in T and R^c is nano open set in T, by (i) $f^{-1}(R^c)$ is a nano $\alpha \psi$ closed set in S. But $f^{-1}(R^c) = \{f^{-1}(R)\}^c$. Therefore $f^{-1}(B)$ is nano open in S. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose R be a nano closed set such that $f(a) \in R$, by (ii) $a \in f^{-1}(R)$ which is nano open. Assume $H = f^{-1}(R)$ then $a \in H$ and $f(H) \subseteq R$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that R be a nano closed set in T and $a \in f^{-1}(R)$ then $f(a) \in R$. there exist a nano open set S such that $f(S) \subseteq R$. Hence $f^{-1}(R)$ is equal to union of all nano $\alpha \psi$ open set.

68



[Jeevitha, 5(9): September 2018] DOI- 10.5281/zenodo.1409650

ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Suppose H be a subset of S. If $b \notin N_{\alpha\psi} \ker f(H)$, then by Theorem 2.3 there exist $R \subseteq (T, f(a)) \ni f(H) \cap R = \phi$. Thus $H \cap f^{-1}(R) = \phi$ and since $f^{-1}(R)$ is nano open we have $N_{\alpha\psi}cl(H) \cap f^{-1}(B) = \phi$. Hence, $f(N_{\alpha\psi}cl(H)) \cap R = \phi$ and therefore $b \notin f(N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(H))$ $\Rightarrow f[N_{\alpha\psi}cl(H)] \subseteq N_{\alpha\psi} \ker f(H)$. (iv) \Rightarrow (v) Let $R \subseteq T$, by (iv) and Theorem 2.3 we have $f[N_{\alpha\psi}cl(f^{-1}(R))] \subseteq N_{\alpha\psi} \ker f(f^{-1}(R))$ $\subseteq N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(R)$. Thus $N_{\alpha\psi}cl[f^{-1}(R)] \subseteq f^{-1}[N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(R)]$. (v) \Rightarrow (i) Let $R \subseteq T$, then by Theorem 2.3 we have $N_{\alpha\psi}cl[f^{-1}(R)] \subseteq f^{-1}[N_{\alpha\psi} \ker(R)]$ and $N_{\alpha\psi}cl[f^{-1}(R)] \subseteq f^{-1}(R)$. Therefore $f^{-1}(R)$ is nano closed in S. Hence f is nano contra $\alpha\psi$ continuous.

Theorem 3.16. If $f: S \to T$ and $g: T \to E$ be the function then $g \circ f$ is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous if g is nano $\alpha \psi$ continuous and f is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous.

Proof. Let us assume that g is nano $\alpha \psi$ continuous and f is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous function. Let us take any nano open set R in E. Here g is nano $\alpha \psi$ continuous, therefore $g^{-1}(R)$ is nano open in T. Here f is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(R))$ is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous in S. That is $(g \circ f)^{-1}(R)$ is nano $\alpha \psi$ continuous in S. Hence $g \circ f$ is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous.

IV. NANO CONTRA αψ **IRRESOLUTE FUNCTION**

Definition 4.1. Let $(S, \tau_R(x))$ and $(T, \tau_R(y))$ be a nano topological spaces, then $f:(S, \tau_R(x)) \to (T, \tau_R(y))$ is a nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute function, if $f^{-1}(P)$ is nano $\alpha \psi$ closed in $(S, \tau_R(x))$ for every nano $\alpha \psi$ open set P in $(T, \tau_R(y))$. **Example** 4.2. Let as consider $U = \{p, q, r, s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p, q\}, \{r\}, \{s\}\}$ and $X = \{p, r\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{r\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, q\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(X) = P(U)$ and $U = \{p, q, r, s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p, q\}, \{r\}, \{q, s\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(X) = P(U)$ and $U = \{p, q, r, s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p, q\}, \{r\}, \{q, s\}\}$ and $Y = \{p, q\}$. Then $\tau_R(Y) = \{U, \phi, \{p\}, \{p, q, s\}, \{q, s\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(Y) = \{U, \phi, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{q\}, \{q\}, \{p, r\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, s\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, q, s\}, \{p, r, s\}\}$. Assume that $f: (S, \tau_R(x)) \to (T, \tau_R(y))$ be defined by f(p) = q, f(q) = r, f(r) = s, f(s) = p. Then $f^{-1}(p, q, r) = \{p, q, s\}$ is nano $\alpha \psi$ closed in $(s, \tau_R(x))$ for every nano $\alpha \psi$ open set $\{p, q, r\}$ in $(T, \tau_R(y))$.

Theorem 4.3. Let f and g be a two nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute function on U then $g \circ f$ is need not be a nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute function.

Proof. This is proved by the following example.

Example 4.4. Let as consider $U = \{p, q, r, s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p, q\}, \{r\}, \{s\}\}\)$ and $X = \{p, r\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{r\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, q\}\}\)$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(X) = P(U)$ and $U = \{p, q, r, s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p\}, \{r\}, \{q, s\}\}\)$ and $Y = \{p, q\}$. Then $\tau_R(Y) = \{U, \phi, \{p\}, \{p, q, s\}, \{q, s\}\}\)$. Here the nano $\alpha \psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha \psi}(Y) = \{U, \phi, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{q\}, \{s\}, \{p, r\}, \{p, q\}, \{q, s\}, \{p, q, r\}, \{p, q, s\}, \{p, r, s\}, \{q, r, s\}\}\)$.



(C)Global Journal Of Engineering Science And Researches



ISSN 2348 – 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070

Assume that $f:(S,\tau_R(x)) \to (T,\tau_R(y))$ be defined by f(p) = q, f(q) = r, f(r) = s, f(s) = p and also let $g:(T,\tau_R(y)) \to (E,\tau_R(z))$ be defined by g(p) = p, g(q) = r, g(r) = s, g(s) = q.

Here the functions f and g is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute functions but their composite $g \circ f$ is not nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute function since $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(p,r,s)) = (p,q,s)$ it is not nano $\alpha \psi$ closed in $(s,\tau_R(x))$.

Theorem 4.5. If $f: S \to T$ and $g: T \to E$ be a two nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute function, then their composition $g \circ f$ is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute function.

Proof. Let us take H be a nano $\alpha \psi$ open set in E. Then $g^{-1}(H)$ is nano $\alpha \psi$ closed in T, because g is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute. Now $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(H))$ is nano $\alpha \psi$ open in S, because f is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute. Hence $g \circ f$ is nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute function.

Theorem 4.5. Every nano contra $\alpha \psi$ continuous function need not be nano contra $\alpha \psi$ irresolute function, this is shown by the upcoming example.

Example 4.6. Let as consider $U = \{p,q,r,s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p,q\},\{r\},\{s\}\}$ and $X = \{p,r\}$. Then $\tau_R(X) = \{U,\phi,\{r\},\{p,q,r\},\{p,q\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha\psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha\psi}(X) = P(U)$ and $U = \{p,q,r,s\}$ with $U_R = \{\{p\},\{r\},\{q,s\}\}$ and $Y = \{p,q\}$. Then $\tau_R(Y) = \{U,\phi,\{p\},\{p,q,s\},\{q,s\}\}$. Here the nano $\alpha\psi$ open set is $\tau_R^{\alpha\psi}(Y) = \{U,\phi,\{p\},\{q\},\{q\},\{q\},\{p,r\},\{p,q\},\{q,s\},\{p,q,r\},\{p,q,s\},\{p,r,s\},\{q,r,s\}\}$. Assume that $f:(S,\tau_R(x)) \to (T,\tau_R(y))$ be defined by f(p) = q, f(q) = r, f(r) = s, f(s) = p. Then $f^{-1}(q,r) = \{p,q\}$ is not nano $\alpha\psi$ closed in $(s,\tau_R(x))$ for every nano $\alpha\psi$ open set $\{q,r\}$ in $(T,\tau_R(y))$.

V. CONCLUSION

In this article, we introduced a notion of nano $\alpha\psi$ kernal and nano contra $\alpha\psi$ continuous function. Further we study some of their related attributes, theorems and results were discussed. Also we study the concept of nano contra $\alpha\psi$ irresolute function and related theorems were discussed.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bhattacharya and B.K.Lahiri, Semi generalized closed sets in topology, Indian journal of pure and applied mathematics, Vol 29, pp 375-382, 1987.
- 2. Bhuvaneswari and A.Ezhilarasi, On nano semi generalized and nano generalized semi closed sets in nano topological spaces, International journal of mathematics and computer applications research, pp 117-124, 2014.
- 3. Bhuvaneswari and A.Ezhilarasi, On nano semi generalized continuous maps in nano topological spaces, International research journal of pure algebra, 5(9), 149-155, 2015.
- 4. M.Caldas cueva, Semi generalized continuous maps in topological spaces, Portugaliae mathematica, Vol 52(4), 1995.
- 5. S.Chandrasekar, T.Rajesh kannan and M.Suresh, Contra nano sg continuity in nano topological spaces, International journal of research innovation in engineering science and technology, Vol 2(4), 2017.
- 6. Dontchev.J, Contra continuous function and strongly s closed spaces, International journal of maths and mathematical science, 19, 303-310, 1996.
- 7. Dontchev. J and T.Noiri, Contra semi continuous functions, math. Pannonica, 10, 159-168, 1999.
- 8. Govindappa Navalagi, Some more characterizations on gs closed sets and sg closed sets in topological spaces, American journal of mathematical science and applications, Vol 1(1), 39-46,2013.





- 9. Jafari.S, and T.Noiri, Contra α continuous functions between topological spaces, Iranian. Int.J. Sci., 2(2), 153-167, 2001.
- 10. M.Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, On nano forms of weakly open sets, International journal of mathematics and statistics invention, Volume 1, Issue 1, August 2013, pp 31-37.
- 11. M.Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, On nano continuity, Mathematical theory and modelling, 7, 32-37, 2013.
- 12. M.Lellis Thivagar, S.Jafari and V.Sudha devi, On new class of contra continuity in nano topology, pp 1-10.
- 13. N.Levine, Generalized closed sets in topological spaces, Rend.Circ. Mat.Palermo. Vol 19, 89-96, 1970.



ISSN 2348 - 8034 Impact Factor- 5.070